

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Abstandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspt.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/027,647	12/21/2001	Lucio Pieroni	AA511	1490
27752 7590 01/21/2004			EXAMINER	
	TER & GAMBLE CO UAL PROPERTY DIVIS	SPISICH, MARK		
	LL TECHNICAL CENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			1744	
CHICHITAI	1, 011 73224		DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/027	7,647	PIERONI ET AL.	
		Exami	ner	Art Unit	
		Mark s		1744	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this comn or Reply	nunication appears on	the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any s	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMI nations of time may be available under the provisions (SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c period for reply specified above is less than this period for reply is specified above, the maximur to to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b	JNICATION. JONE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no Dommunication. JONE of the communication of the	event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirt d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED 35 11 S.C. \$23	
	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on			
	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final		
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is losed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to res	s/are withdrawn from			
Applicati	on Papers		·		
	The specification is objected to by				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any ob				
				ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
11)[] 1	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner, I	Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
a)∟ * Sr 13)⊠ Ar sir 37 a) 14)□ Ar ref	ice a specific reference was included CFR 1.78. CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign locknowledgment is made of a claim ference was included in the first second	ty documents have be ty documents have be ty documents have be s of the priority docur- ional Bureau (PCT R ion for a list of the ce i for domestic priority led in the first sentendanguage provisional a for domestic priority	een received. een received in Appenents have been rule 17.2(a)). rtified copies not runder 35 U.S.C. § se of the specifical application has beunder 35 U.S.C. §	oplication No received in this National Stage eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional applicatio tion or in an Application Data Shee	
tachment(•		, CT.		
☐ Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s) <u>8/03:3/02</u> .		mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trac	demark Office v. 11-03)	Office Action Summ			

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

As this application claims benefit of prior provisional application serial no 60/257,841, page 1 of the specification must be amended to include a reference thereto.

Information Disclosure Statement

There were two information disclosure statement in the file of the present application. One of them (filed 1 August 2003) was indicated as being intended for another application (10/027,754); however, the same document can be found in the other application as well. The serial number at the top of the "1449" has been changed by the examiner and has been paced in the filed of the present application. Applicant should also noted that eight documents listed as US patents are in fact PCT publications instead. These citations have been lined through and transferred to the foreign patent section.

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "58" (page 11, line 5) should instead be -42 --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. As the "scrubbing surface" is not recited until

Application/Control Number: 10/027,647 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

line10 of claim 7, the reference thereto in line 9 lacks antecedent. It is also noted that the period after "surface" (claim 7, line 9) should be deleted. Applicant should review the claims for any additional informalities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown (USP 3,629,893). The patent to Brown discloses a scrubbing surface (32) which may be removable joined to a hand-held scrubbing device and which "can contain cleaning solution, paste or the like" (column 2, lines 4-5).
- 5. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gacuzana (USP 4,282,623). The patent to Gacuzana discloses a scrubbing surface (14) which may be readily attached to an removed from a hand-held scrubbing device (see column 4, lines 61-66) and further wherein the scrubbing surface/pad may be soap impregnated (column 2, lines 6-7). The reference in claim 10 to the motor does not define over the prior art in that claims 9 and 10 are drawn only to the subcombination of the pad.
- 6. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Price (USP 3,026,552). The patent to Price discloses a scrubbing surface/pad (34) which includes a detergent (column 2, lines 44-71).

Application/Control Number: 10/027,647 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

7. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strominski (USP 3,128,489). The patent to Strominski discloses scrubbing surface/pad (60) containing "a suitable scrubbing powder or other type of detergent" (column 3, lines 11-12) and which is adapted to be removable joined to a hand-held scrubbing device.

8. Claims 1 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benkovsky (USP 4,381,574). The patent to Benkovsky discloses a scrubbing device comprising casing housing a motor (20) and batteries (22) and an exterior area including a driven (by the motor) scrubbing surface (15) impregnated with a cleaning liquid (column 2, lines 36-38).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over deBlois et al (USP 5,978,999) in view of Strominski (USP 3,128,489). The patent to deBlois discloses a hand-held scrubbing device (10) comprising a casing housing a motor (18) and a battery (16) and an exterior area comprising a scrubbing surface/pad (114; also column 46-52) removable joined to the motor. The patent to deBlois discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the pad including a cleaning composition. The patent to Strominski teaches that such domestic cleaning pads (60) may include a detergent (column 3, lines 11-12). It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 1744

to one of ordinary skill to have modified the pad of deBlois as such so that another source of cleaning solution would not be needed.

- 11. Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Root et al (USP 5,870,790) in view of Strominski (USP 3,128,489). The patent to Root discloses a hand-held scrubbing device comprising a casing housing a rechargeable battery (6) and a motor (4) as well as an "exterior area" including various types of scrubbing surfaces removably joined thereto. The patent to Strominski discloses the use of a scrubbing pad (60) which is removably secured to a powered cleaning device and which is impregnated with a detergent. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have provided such a cleaning surface to Root (1) because Root clearly teaches that various different cleaning members can be used and (2) so that an external source of soap is not required. The patent to Root discloses a charging coil (126) and such mechanisms are conventionally used in conjunction with a charging stand (claim 2). Root also discloses the recited "pivoting portion" (claim 3) (se figs 18 and 19).
- 12. Claims 1 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henriquez et al (USP 5,649,334) in view of Brown (USP 3,629,893). The patent to Henriquez discloses casing which houses a motor assembly (54,58) and batteries (41) and wherein a scrubbing surface (43,45) which may be either a brush or a sponge is removable secured to the motor. The device is disclosed as being used in a wet environment and one of ordinary skill would deem it obvious to make if "waterproof". The patent to Henriquez discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the

Art Unit: 1744

exception of the scrubber having a detergent therein. The patent to Brown teaches that it is well known to impregnate a cleaning sponge (32) with a detergent (column 2, lines 3-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified the device of Henriquez as such to provide additional detergent to the work surface or in case the detergent reservoir in the casing was empty. The patent to Henriquez further discloses the faucet connection (18) and rinsing orifice (the valve 55 can be set to only provide for a jet of water).

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mettei and Walton are pertinent to a faucet connection and the others to diverse-utility cleaning devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6-3:30), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

MARK SPISICH PRIMARY EXAMINER

mul hum